UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,709	03/03/2004	Satoshi Arai	249946US2	2916
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,709	ARAI, SATOSHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TUAN H. NGUYEN	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 №</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under №	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-19 is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	or election requirement. er. cepted or b)⊡ objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/790,709 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/27/2007 and
 03/19/2008 has been considered by Examiner and made of record in the application file.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see applicant's remarks, filed on 03/03/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hikita et al. (US PAT. 4,792,939 hereinafter, "Hikita") in view of Tomura et al. (U.S PAT. 5,150,282 hereinafter, "Tomura") and further in view of Weber (U.S PAT. 5,335,147).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hikita et al. (US PAT. 4,792,939 hereinafter, "Hikita") in view of Tomura et al. (U.S PAT. 5,150,282 hereinafter, "Tomura") and further in view of Weber (U.S PAT. 5,335,147).

Consider claim 1, Hikita teaches a wireless communication apparatus, comprising: a mounting substrate including: a duplexer connected to an antenna terminal (col. 2 lines 25-35); a receiving amplifier and a transmitting amplifier individually connected to the duplexer (col. 3 lines 47-63); a processor unit having a receiving processor and a transmitting processor respectively connected to the receiving and transmitting amplifiers in a region spaced from the receiving and transmitting amplifiers (col. 3 line 63 through col. 4 line 4); and a baseband processor connected to the processor unit (col. 3 lines 47-63); a shield case configured to cover the receiving amplifier, the transmitting amplifier, and the processor unit (col. 8 line 67 through col. 9 line 2).

Hikita does not explicitly show that a shield partition of a conductor provided in contact with the shield case, including, a first partition provided from a top panel of the shield case to a surface of the mounting substrate so as to separate the receiving and transmitting amplifiers by extending from an end of the shield case, and a second partition provided from the top panel to the surface of the mounting substrate by extending from another end of the shield case so as to face the first partition across the processor unit; and a cut, provided from the top panel in the shield case so as to overlay the processor unit between the first and second partitions.

In the same field of endeavor, Tomura teaches a shield partition of a conductor provided in contact with the shield case, including, a first partition provided from a top panel of the shield case to a surface of the mounting substrate so as to separate the receiving and transmitting amplifiers by extending from an end of the shield case (figs. 3-4, items 28, 29 and 30 col. 3 lines 20-58), and a second partition provided from the top panel (24) to the surface of the mounting substrate (21) by extending from another end of the shield case so as to face the first partition across the processor unit (figs. 3-4 col. 3 line 44 through col. 4 line 10); and a cut (as shown in fig. 3 between two grooves of the ribs 28 of the rear casing 24), provided from the top panel in the shield case so as to overlay the processor unit between the first and second partitions (figs. 3-4 col. 3 line 44 through col. 4 line 10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use, a shield partition of a conductor provided in contact with the shield case, including, a first partition provided from a top panel of the shield case to a surface of the mounting substrate so as to separate the receiving and transmitting amplifiers by extending from an end of the shield case, and a second partition provided from the top panel to the surface of the mounting substrate by extending from another end of the shield case so as to face the first partition across the processor unit; and a cut, provided from the top panel in the shield case so as to overlay the processor unit between the first and second partitions, as taught by Tomura, in order to provide a shielding structure for high-frequency circuit arrangements capable of electromagnetically shielding each high-frequency functional circuit in a narrow space.

Hikita and Tomura, in combination, fail to teach a cut, provided from the top panel in the shield case so as to overlay the processor unit between the first and second partitions.

However, Weber teaches a cut, provided from the top panel in the shield case so as to overlay the processor unit between the first and second partitions (col. 6 line 57 through col. 7 line 23).

Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Weber into view of Hikita and Tomura, in order to provide a shielding apparatus for electronic circuitry on a printed circuit board that allows solderless installation of an EMI shield to produce an electronics module that has a high degree of EMI shielding and that permits extensive communication of desired electromagnetic radio frequency and electrical signals by exposing from out of the EMI shield certain portions of the printed circuit board.

Consider claim 2, Hikita further teaches the processor unit monolithically integrates the receiving and transmitting processors and a ground region placed between the receiving and transmitting processors on a semiconductor chip (fig. 9 col. 9 lines 3-13).

Consider claim 3, Tomura further teaches the first partition is connected to a first ground terminal of the processor unit, the first ground terminal being connected to an end of the ground region and being provided in a vicinity of the first partition (col. 3 lines

Consider claim 4, Tomura further teaches the second partition is connected to a second ground terminal of the processor unit, the second ground terminal being connected to other end of the ground region and being provided in a vicinity of the second partition (col. 3 lines 59-68).

Page 6

Consider claim 5, Tomura further teaches at least a part of the respective first and second ground terminals are placed to face each other (col. 3 lines 44-58).

Consider claim 6, Tomura further teaches the shield case is connected to third ground terminals of the processor unit, the third ground terminals being connected to a receiving side ground region and a transmitting side ground region, respectively, of the receiving and transmitting processors provided on opposite ends of the semiconductor chip (col. 3 lines 54-58).

Consider claim 7, Tomura further teaches a conductive member is placed between the cut and a package of the processor unit in contact with the cut and the package (col. 3 lines 44-58).

Consider claim 8, Tomura further teaches an external ground electrode connected to at least one of the first and second ground terminals is provided on a Art Unit: 2618

surface of the package, the surface being in contact with the conductive member (col. 3 lines 47-54).

#### Conclusion

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop\_\_\_\_\_ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

**Customer Service Window** 

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571)272-7882882. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/790,709 Page 8

Art Unit: 2618

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Tuan Nguyen/ Examiner Art Unit 2618 /Nay A. Maung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618